

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,124	05/10/2007	Linda Greensmith	CytRx/012	1776
1473 ROPES & GR	7590 01/23/200 AY I I P	9	EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKETING 39/361			STONE, CHRISTOPHER R	
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) GREENSMITH ET AL. 10/582,124 Examiner Art Unit CHRISTOPHER R. STONE 1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

	(1) CHRISTOPHER R. STONE.	(3) <u>CARL MORALES</u> .			
	(2) <u>PATRICIA DUFFY</u> .	(4) <u>PETER KORAKAS</u> .			
	Date of Interview: 16 January 2009.				
	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]			
	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 6-11.					
	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
	Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed potential amendments to overcome the current enablement and art rejections as well as the election requirement. Examiner indicated that removing prevention from claim 6 and specifying the patient population of claim 6 as a patient with a neurodegenerative diseases may overcome the enablement and art rejections respectively.</u>					
	(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
	THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

/Patricia A. Duffy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)